UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,369	07/01/2003	Tsuyoshi Mima	00862.023128.	1190
	7590 06/09/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			HUNTSINGER, PETER K	
NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/609,369	MIMA, TSUYOSHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter K. Huntsinger	2625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2009					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٥,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the app	olication					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	* *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some coll None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 2625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/23/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues on pages 8 and 9 of the response in essence that:

Parry '863 does not disclose automatic setting of a destination to an address of the authenticated user.

a. Parry '863 discloses that the user is given the opportunity by means of various buttons and controls to make a selection of transmission of the document to another printer (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46). This can be considered automatically setting because the device hardware and user interface 40 enable a user to select transmission of the document to another printer without the user manually scanning the document barcode a subsequent time. Further, the claim calls for manual input for reading an image on a document, and does not preclude user interaction.

The applicant argues on page 9 of the response in essence that:

Parry '863 does not disclose that the other printer is the address of the authenticated user.

b. After the user is authenticated (Block 150 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 29-34), the user selects the destination for transmission of the document to another printer or

Art Unit: 2625

appropriate device (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46). Therefore, the user selected address is the address of the user.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 10 includes the limitation "setting, as the destination, an address manually designated by said operating means in a case where the user is not authenticated." However, claim 1 includes the limitation "authenticating whether a user can utilize an original image of the document." It is unclear how a user that cannot utilize an original image of the document (as in claim 1) is able to manually set the address where the original image is output (as in claim 10). Claims 11 and 12 include similar limitations.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parry '863.

Referring to **claims 1, 7 and 9**, Parry '863 discloses an image processing apparatus (Printer 10 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 8-11), comprising:

read means for reading an image on a document that contains image storage information representing a location where an original image of the documents is stored (Block 130 of Fig. 2, col. 3-4, lines 65-67, 1-9, detects barcode containing URL);

authentication means for authenticating whether a user can utilize an original image of the document (col. 4, lines 9-12, PIN number used to verify authorization to access the remote URL);

search means for searching the original image of the document from an image storage device which stores the original image of the document, on the basis of the image storage information when the user is authenticated by said authentication means (col. 4, lines 29-36, printer retrieves request document);

setting means for automatically setting, in accordance with an authentication by said authentication means, a destination for an original image of a document to an address of the user authenticated by the authentication means, the set destination being different from said image processing apparatus (Block 170 and 180 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46, printer at which the user is present retrieves the request document and the user can make a selection of the transmission of the document to another printer or other appropriate device); and

Art Unit: 2625

output means for outputting the original image of the document searched by said search means to the destination set by said setting means (Block 170 and 180 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46, printer retrieves request document and transmits the document to another printer).

Referring to **claim 2**, Parry '863 discloses wherein the image storage information includes information represented by a barcode (Block 130 of Fig. 2, col. 3-4, lines 65-67, 1-9, detects barcode containing URL).

Referring to **claim 3**, Parry '863 discloses where the image storage device includes a server device connected via a network (col. 3, lines 33-37, accesses remote device 70 by means of network).

Referring to **claim 5**, Parry '863 discloses means for causing a printing device to print the image storage information, and causing the image storage device to store the original image of the document (Block 220 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 53-55, document is printed).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parry '863 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

Referring to **claim 4**, Parry '863 discloses outputting the original image to the destination set by said setting means but does not disclose expressly attaching the original image to an e-mail and outputting the e-mail.

Page 6

The applicant's admitted art teaches wherein output means attaches the original image to E-mail, and outputs the E-mail with the original image (page 1, lines 23-27). At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to email a scanned image to a server. The motivation for doing so would have been to send the image to a central location for long-term storage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the applicant's admitted prior art with Parry '863 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 4.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parry '863 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mori '590.

Referring to **claim 6**, Parry '863 discloses where said output means outputs the original image but does not disclose expressly outputting the image without the image storage information.

Mori '590 discloses outputting an original image without the image storage information (col. 4, lines 15-20, identification code is not printed on the document or print image itself).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to print an original image without the URL barcode. The motivation for doing so would have been to produce a document free of distracting/unwanted marks.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mori '590 with Parry '863 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 6.

Page 7

9. Claim 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parry '863, and further in view of Goodhand '848.

Referring to **claims 10-12**, Parry '863 discloses an image processing apparatus (Printer 10 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 8-11), comprising:

read means for reading an image on a document that contains image storage information representing a location where an original image of the documents is stored (Block 130 of Fig. 2, col. 3-4, lines 65-67, 1-9, detects barcode containing URL);

authentication means for authenticating whether a user can utilize an original image of the document (col. 4, lines 9-12, PIN number used to verify authorization to access the remote URL);

search means for searching the original image of the document from an image storage device which stores the original image of the document, on the basis of the image storage information when the user is authenticated by said authentication means (col. 4, lines 29-36, printer retrieves request document);

a set destination being different from said image processing apparatus (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46, printer at which the user is present retrieves the request document and the user can make a selection of the transmission of the document to another printer or other appropriate device); and

output means for outputting the original image of the document searched by said search means to the destination set by said setting means (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-36, printer retrieves request document).

Parry '863 further discloses operating means for accepting an address designated as the destination from a user (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46, printer at which the user is present retrieves the request document and the user can make a selection of the transmission of the document to another printer or other appropriate device via user interface 40); and

second setting means for setting, as the destination, an address manually designated by said operating means (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46, printer at which the user is present retrieves the request document and the user can make a selection of the transmission of the document to another printer or other appropriate device),

wherein the output means output the original image of the document searched by said search means to the destination of the address set by said second setting means (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-36, printer retrieves request document).

Parry '863 does not disclose expressly a first and second setting means.

Goodhand '848 discloses setting means for automatically setting, in accordance with an authentication by said authentication means, a destination for an original image of a document to an address of the user authenticated by the authentication means (col. 17, lines 38-52, a name of the user is automatically inserted in the address field if it is of a registered user), and

second setting means for setting, as the destination, an address manually designated by said operating means in a case where the user is not authenticated (col. 17-18, lines 53-67, 1-12, a name must be manually entered if it is unregistered).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to automatically set the address for an authenticated user while manually setting the address for an unauthenticated user. The motivation for doing so would have been to eliminate the need for a user to fully enter an address manually. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Goodhand '848 with Parry '863 to obtain the invention as specified in claims 10-12.

### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter K. Huntsinger whose telephone number is (571)272-7435. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter K. Huntsinger/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625